

## Table Discussion #1 (15)

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

- affordable housing in 'urban areas' vital
- 'prosperous' rural - "growing" a very loose and dangerous term - no more sprawl - working for a smaller footprint necessary
- a 1st class open education system viable - career pathways
- a deep ecological literacy absolutely necessary
- commitment to the natural world for its own sake
- Distributed generation
  - Net Zero carbon future necessary
- Climate resilience of infrastructure → not just transportation
  - anything on the coast is at risk
  - need new energy system that is low carbon & resilient
  - challenges to water system & freshwater supply should be addressed

## Education System

- can educate environmental leaders, train next generation of informed citizens, not just skilled workers
- Affordable Housing needed to support compact urban growth and address equity concerns

Ernest Pacheco  
ernestpacheco@cwatd12.org

overly

Table Discussion #1 (continued)

= Carbon neutral minimum required  
actually need net carbon reductions - not  
achievable by 2050 but should be explicitly  
stated now

Steve Miller  
415-308-2142

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

Cost of Living / Working in CA - For residents + businesses  
(investments)

Promoting cross jurisdictional planning re: Transportation  
infrastructure, connectedness + linkages.

Provide opportunities for regional collaboration

on transportation. provide incentives ~~and~~ to

support <sup>Regional</sup> ~~trans~~ public transportation as an efficient,

reliable, and sustainable means of transportation.

We need to incorporate this system ~~as~~ so

that it can serve as the primary <sup>preferred</sup> form of

transportation for the 50 million people

that will ~~populate~~ live & work in CA.

The overarching message must reflect a commitment  
on the part of the State to acknowledge the rich diversity  
of language / ethnicity of CA inhabitants -- and  
state its commitment to taking steps to reaching

Table Discussion #1 (continued)

those groups with culturally relevant/  
sensitive information/education on the  
important direction the state is taking to  
address the <sup>important</sup> issues facing all Californians.

• And very many corollaries follow. Thanks! Irma  
Krispivova

Bradley Penhance

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

see over



Kim Springer (650) 599-1412

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

frame of reference is changing. Over all need to recognize that the  
strong connection between people (resident communities) ←

① Strong Economy: Economy recognizes resources,  
inclusive & diverse

② Thriving Urban Areas: may include crime and safety  
or convenient, close access to services

③ Proportionate Budget:  
Healthy economy, school, infrastructure, and  
access to scarce resources (water), better transit options

④ Clean Environment: Break out water (not just  
include "Health" considering higher heat days,  
access to "local healthy foods" etc.)  
add "agricultural" practices.

⑤ Clean energy ⇒ Transportation (clean)

⑥ Efficient and sound infrastructure  
reduces the need for individual travel

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A). -

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

① Yes, for the urban <sup>communities</sup>. But some felt that the dichotomy between rural vs. urban leaves out the suburban typologies. ~~at~~ Suburban communities may not have as much as buy-in.

② What's missing: when we described a world of resource constraints, rather than telling people that they have to live without, provide them with a vision of what they are gaining.

③ ~~What's the vision?~~  
- What do we like about it → ~~the~~ PDA communities are very aligned with this vision; but ~~the~~ again the suburban communities may have a hard time identifying with the vision.



### Table Discussion #1 (15)

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

NOT MUCH ON HEALTH, EQUITY & PREPAREDNESS

- HOUSING AFFORDABILITY

- WATER RESOURCES

- ALTERNATIVE TRANSIT

- BIKE / PED

Might be useful to create distinct paragraphs for Health, equity and preparedness since these are such integral issues for a sustainable future.

State's education system needs to be returned to world class.

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

Not sure if this vision is credible/realistic

↳ need to acknowledge challenges

↳ conflict resolution ideas? processes?

↳ will these visions be able to work together realistically?

Efficient + sound infrastructure: what about existing infrastructure?

Lauren Casey, 707-565-5379

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

missing: health: equity

"Consideration of health: equity  
in all decision-making."

missing: rights of nature (vs. system of  
resources (human <sup>econ</sup> emphasis); remove "resource" <sup>from rural</sup> bullet

like that preservation of natural resources  
is woven throughout

missing - sustainability as a goal for  
food, resources, infrastructure

missing: land-use - ~~too much emphasis on~~  
infrastructure: transit in transportation  
(or transportation <sup>mobility</sup> should be separate from  
infrastructure)

GRANT BALLARD

SARA MOORE

JEFF CATON

### Table Discussion #1 (15)

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

DOES IT DESCRIBE OUR VISION?

NEEDS TO BE MORE EXPLICIT ABOUT COMPARATIVES

(TO TODAY)? ARE WE REALLY GOING TO 50M? IS IT NECESSARY?

WATER + ECONOMIC FACTORS MIGHT KEEP POP. DOWN - OR SHOULD?

LIKE: RURAL REGIONS GET THEIR OWN POINT; A PLEASANT

VISION; EMPHASIS ON ECONOMY

MODIFY: NEEDS TO EMPHASIZE FUNCTIONING ECOSYSTEM

SERVICES, RELIANCE ON NATURE, NATURE-BASED

SOLUTIONS; (NOT JUST IN "RURAL REGIONS" - WHAT

ABOUT WILDERNESS? PARKS?); SUBSTITUTE "HEALTHY"

FOR "CLEAN" ENVIRONMENT; WATER EXPLICITLY AS

ECOSYSTEM SERVICE: DRINKING, PLANTING/AG, POWER GENERATION,

THRIVING WILDLIFE POPULATIONS; ADAPTIVE MANAGEMENT

AT THE STATE SCALE / <sup>PROCESS</sup> LEARNING FROM MISTAKES; PEOPLE ARE

CONNECTED TO NATURE - NOT JUST SITTING IN FRONT OF

SCREENS; NOT JUST "ACCESS TO OPEN SPACE"; INFRASTRUCTURE

ONE SEEMS A BIT SUPERFICIAL -;

Also - health + income inequities remediated, a more equal, equally wealthy + wealthy state

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

1) Need an indicator for water.

2) How will "equity" be attained among the competing goals and sectors

3) "Economy" needs to include greater development of dialogue between the public and private sectors.

The private sector perspective is crucial.

4) Not simply a clean environment -- need protection, restoration & productive thriving ecosystems. Nature-based solutions. Plan for natural infrastructure.

Confused by the term "intact natural systems".

5) How much farmland do we want to give up to development and growth.

6) Efficient and sound infrastructure -- we think there is too much focus on transportation / high-speed systems.

The vision elements should all be "high-level" or at least consistent in the detail (each contains now).

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

③ - Where is suburban <sup>suburban</sup> California? \_\_\_\_\_

~~urban~~ ~~suburban~~

④ = Ability to address inequity in the state & greater social <sup>economic</sup> ~~political~~ mobility \_\_\_\_\_

= Idealized vision that fails to address issues of fragmentation / inequality  
& financial constraints. \_\_\_\_\_

② - Flexible & responsive California to respond to rapid changes in  
economics / droughts / education / climate. \_\_\_\_\_

④ ~~mechanisms~~ Removal of barriers to funding. \_\_\_\_\_

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

---

**Table Discussion #1 (15)**

Review the "A Vision for California's Future" (Handout A).

Does this describe your vision of the Bay Area and California in 2050?

What do you like? What would you modify? What is missing?

EGPR → Legislation Title 24 equivalent

Health & Safety missing Clean Water Clean Air

Visions → Goals → Measures

Infrastructure - stands betw human beings meeting needs

Effective Jumble - Wa

Water redesign is most crucial

take  
draft  
from  
p. 26